1	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 392 is received.			
2	(Whereupon, the document previously			
3	marked for identification as Media			
4	Bureau Exhibit 392 was received into			
5	evidence.)			
6	JUDGE CHACHKIN: And we move to the final volume.			
7	(Pause.)			
8	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 407, which is an annual meeting of			
9	the board of directors of the Trinity Christian Center of			
10	Santa Ana, Inc., and affiliated corporations. The document			
11	will be received.			
12	(Whereupon, the document previously			
13	marked for identification as Bureau			
14	Exhibit 407 was received into			
15	evidence.)			
16	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 410, do you object to 410?			
17	MR. TOPEL: Not, not subject to their being tied up,			
18	Your Honor. I assume the Bureau plans on attempting to tie			
19	those up and, and subject to that representation, I have no			
20	objection.			
21	MR. SHOOK: We'll certainly try.			
22	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 410 is received.			
23	(Whereupon, the document previously			
24 25	marked for identification as Bureau			
23	Exhibit 410 was received into			

1	evidence.)			
_	, and the second			
2	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Bureau Exhibit 5 no, Bureau			
3	Exhibit are there any more?			
4	MR. TOPEL: No, I think that's it.			
5	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Is that it? We ready for our first			
6	witness, is that			
7	MR. COHEN: Well, we have two more matters.			
8	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Two more?			
9	MR. COHEN: Yeah, I want to offer the Miller			
10	deposition, and then I wanted to ask you if you would revisit			
11	the			
12	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. All right, we're			
13	finished with the Bureau exhibits. All right, Mr. Cohen.			
14	MR. COHEN: I'd like to offer, Your Honor, what I			
15	believe already has been handed to the reporter and to the			
16	parties. I don't happen to have a copy of it. Can I borrow			
17	your from the reporter? Your Honor, this is a this is			
18	the deposition testimony of one Warren Benton Miller, II, and			
19	it's being offered pursuant to stipulation that we've entered			
20	into. What's my next exhibit number, Your Honor? I forgot to			
21	write the number.			
22	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 210, I believe.			
23	MR. COHEN: 210?			
24 25	JUDGE CHACHKIN: I believe so. 210, yes.			
43	MR. COHEN: Okay. This will be marked for			

1	identification then as Glendale Broadcasting Company Exhibit
2	No. 210, which is, as I understand it, coming in on a
3	stipulated basis. I am offering that document.
4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: The document described is marked
5	for identification as Glendale Exhibit 210.
6	(Whereupon, the document referred to
7	as Glendale Exhibit 210 was marked
8	for identification.)
9	JUDGE CHACHKIN: And I gather there's no objection?
10	MR. TOPEL: That's correct, Your Honor.
11	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Glendale Exhibit 210 is received.
12	(Whereupon, the document previously
13	marked for identification as Glendale
14	Exhibit 210 was received into
15	evidence.)
16	MR. COHEN: Could we turn to that other matter,
17	Your Honor?
18	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.
19	MR. COHEN: Your Honor, during the few minutes that
20	I've had, I've identified documents 157, 158, 159, 160, 161,
21	162, and 163. They're all of the same genre, and for purposes
22	of argument, I, I would ask you to look at well, I, I
23	would I'm just trying to find one that I think you'll is
24 25	best for your purposes for, for hearing arguments. I ask you
<i></i>	to look at Exhibit No. 159. Now, my argument, Your Honor, is,

is as follows, and it's a, it's a short one. Authentication 2 ought not to be a problem, and I'm prepared to address that if 3 you wish. The federal rules are very clear on this. You do not need a sponsoring witness to authenticate a document. 4 That's for sure. 5 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, this one's signed by 6 7 Ben Miller, so --8 Yeah, and, Mr. Cohen, you gave --MR. TOPEL: 9 But I have a list. MR. COHEN: 10 MR. TOPEL: You gave a list 157, 158, 160, 162 and 11 163, and then you turned to 159. Is, is 159 --12 MR. COHEN: Well, okay, I'll, I'll use -- that was 13 carelessness on my part. We can go, we can go, we can go to 14 160. That's, that's fine. 15 JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. 16 MR. COHEN: Okay, now, so authentication is not an 17 The fact that a document is signed or not signed, I 18 submit to you, doesn't go to its admissibility when you 19 recognize the context, and the rules in the cases construing 20 the federal rules are clear on that. These -- this document 21 has a number on the bottom, 50527. This document came from 22 the files of Trinity and/or NMTV. There has been no argument 23 made to Your Honor that this document is not genuine in the 24 sense that it didn't come from the files of NMTV or Trinity, 25 so you should assume, I, I respectfully submit, for purposes

1	of ruling that this is a true document. The fact that it's			
2	not signed, if anything, goes to its weight. Documents in			
3	files frequently are not signed because of the internal			
4	procedures of a, of an organization. This didn't come from			
5	Darlene Eve's files; this came from Trinity's files. Now,			
6	insofar as the contents of the document are concerned, I think			
7	the law is clear I can offer it as an admission and it would			
8	be if it for a hearsay purpose, for the truth of the			
9	proposition asserted but I'm not asking you to accept it			
10	for that. I'm asking you to accept it for the proposition			
11	that Ben Miller said that "we have two surplus Sony 58			
12	machines here at TBN facility which I will have sent to you."			
13	The fact that he said that is definitely relevant on both			
14	under both issues, and that's my argument, and there is a			
15	series of documents. What I was urging earlier was that they			
16	didn't have to come in for the truth of the proposition			
17	asserted, and I don't think I made my argument clear, and for			
18	that I apologize to Mr. Topel. There was no intent on my part			
19	to mislead you, and I thank you for the opportunity to revisit			
20	this.			
21	MR. TOPEL: Yes.			
22	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right, Mr. Topel, he's arguing			
23	that the representation itself is relevant.			
24	MR. TOPEL: Okay, I well			
25	JUDGE CHACHKIN: And			

MR. TOPEL: I don't think the representation is
relevant, although I understand Your Honor may, may wish to
have that representation if it could be established that this
document was sent, and if Mr. Miller had an opportunity to
explain what he meant by it. What Mr. Cohen wants to do is,
is use documents to impugn witnesses who haven't had a chance
to explain them, and Mr. --

JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, if my --

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TOPEL: -- Miller, Mr. Miller was available for deposition and Mr. Cohen examined him about scores of documents which have come into the record because Mr. Miller authenticated them. However, his deposition also showed that there were several documents that he couldn't authenticate, even though they looked just like this one, and so my agreement with Mr. Cohen was that anything that Mr. Miller authenticated, or anything that he, he signed so I knew that it was a document that happened, I wouldn't object to, but I don't know if Mr. Cohen asked Mr. Miller about this document if Mr. Miller would say, you know, "it was never sent," or what he would say, or what he would say it meant; and so I think it, it is, is dangerous in an evidentiary manner to, to receive documents that we don't know -- that there's no examination about, and Mr. Cohen, as you indicated, had the right to call Mr. Miller. He didn't. As you indicated, he's left with cross-examination, and we have agreed to every

document that we could determine that Mr. Miller authenticated, but there were documents that looked like this that he couldn't authenticate, and so I don't, I don't know.

MR. COHEN: Could I be heard on that?

JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.

MR. COHEN: First of all, Your Honor, if this was a document, and I don't believe that's the case, if this was a document that Mr. Miller could not authenticate, then his deposition will reflect that and my -- and this admitting it into evidence will be of no value if Mr. Miller has testified that he didn't write this, or he, or he explains it away, or something, and Mr., Mr. Topel has nothing to fear.

MR. TOPEL: He wasn't asked about it.

MR. COHEN: Approximately 30,000 documents, Your Honor, were exchanged in discovery, and our law firm is a small law firm of, of three lawyers, and we have very limited resources. I examined to the best of my ability every document I could prior to the deposition, but I wasn't physically able to examine every document myself before the depositions took place. You put us on a very tight timetable as you're aware, so I don't think that's, that's a proper objection. The point is, Your Honor, Mr. Topel is reading into the rules of evidence something that doesn't exist. If Mr. Miller was here, for example, I could offer this document to him and I wouldn't have to ask him one question about the

1	document. There's no requirement, Your Honor, under the rules	
2	of evidence that you give a witness an opportunity to explain	
3	a document. That Mr., Mr. Topel is suggesting that somehow	
4	for a document to be admissible, Mr. Miller has to have the	
5	opportunity to explain it away. That is a novel theory and	
6	there is no case support for that. This is a document that	
7	meets the authentication requirements of the federal rules;	
8	it's relevant; and it's not being offered for hearsay purpose.	
9	Then I submit to you, on those bases, these are documents that	
10	should be admitted.	
11	MR. TOPEL: Well, Your Honor, I don't I have the	
12	federal rule in front of me and, and I don't see any provision	
13	that supports Mr. Cohen's position that you don't have to at	
14	least establish that a document on which you're relying is a	
15	document that actually was, was sent by the parties who are	
16	shown on the document, and Mr	
17	JUDGE CHACHKIN: It certainly was prepared by	
18	Mr. Miller, you would concede that.	
19	MR. TOPEL: Oh, absolutely. I'm sure, I'm sure it	
20	was.	
21	JUDGE CHACHKIN: So whether it was sent or not, I	
22	don't know if well	
23	MR. TOPEL: The point is that	
24 25	JUDGE CHACHKIN: The fact that it was prepared and	
<b>2</b> 5	the fact that it had certain instructions by itself may be	

1	relevant.			
2	MR. COHEN: That's what's clear, Your Honor.			
3	Whether it was sent is really irrelevant; it's what he said.			
4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, if that's the case, then			
5	certainly the fact that it was prepared by him, and the			
6	instructions			
7	MR. COHEN: Exactly, that's my			
8	JUDGE CHACHKIN: would be relevant.			
9	MR. COHEN: That's all I'm arguing, nothing more.			
10	MR. TOPEL: Even, even if it were never sent? You			
11	know, that gets to the issue of, of control. It's you			
12	know, there's nothing hidden in this, in this record that the			
13	Trinity Network made its engineering staff available to			
14	National Minority. It's in Mrs. Duff's testimony; it's in			
15	Mr. May's testimony; it's in Dr. Crouch's testimony. You			
16	know, so there's, there's nothing dramatic that that was done,			
17	and there, there is a lot of evidence in the record that I			
18	haven't objected to. It just there, there, there comes a			
19	point where, where the rules of evidence have to			
20	control the kinds of inferences that a party wants to put into			
21	the record, and Mr. Cohen had the opportunity to have			
22	Mr. Miller explain and authenticate this document at his			
23	deposition; he had the opportunity to notice him as part of			
24 25	his direct case to get this evidence admitted the proper way.			
23	Your Honor offered him the opportunity to subpoena Mr. Miller,			

1	you told him you would sign a subpoena, and he basically is,
2	is trying to get the document in without a proper evidentiary
3	foundation for Your Honor to rely on this document.
4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: So you your position is that the
5	fact that it was taken from the files of TBN or National
6	Minority by itself doesn't establish that in fact such a
7	document was prepared and was sent forward.
8	MR. TOPEL: I, I think it, it certainly establishes
9	that it was prepared. I don't believe it was it
10	establishes that it was sent forward, and I don't mean to be
11	representing to you, and I hope Your Honor isn't interpreting
12	it this way, as saying whether this one did or didn't. I
13	don't know. Mr. Miller never had an opportunity to explain,
14	and it would be improper evidentiary in an evidentiary
15	manner to, to rely on a document where there isn't that
16	foundation testimony.
17	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, all right, Mr. Cohen, I could
18	only receive this document for the fact that it was prepared
19	by Mr. Miller.
20	MR. COHEN: That's all I'm asking you to do.
21	JUDGE CHACHKIN: I can't receive it I can't
22	accept it, though, for the fact that it was actually sent to
23	Darlene Eve in the absence of testimony from Mr. Miller.
24 25	MR. COHEN: Okay, Your Honor, that, that's I
	understand that.

1	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right.	
2	MR. COHEN: I think that's, that's a reasonable	
3	position.	
4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right.	
5	MR. COHEN: And, and	
6	JUDGE CHACHKIN: If that's true of all the other	
7	documents	
8	MR. COHEN: Well, we can I think we	
9	MR. TOPEL: We ought to look at them.	
10	MR. COHEN: I don't want to mislead Mr. Topel	
11	because I, I, I	
12	JUDGE CHACHKIN: I mean, it was signed and I don't	
13	think there's any question	
14	MR. TOPEL: I haven't objected to the ones that were	
15	signed, I don't believe.	
16	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right.	
17	MR. COHEN: Okay, let me, let me go through the ones	
18	that I had marked, Your Honor.	
19	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. Okay.	
20	MR. COHEN: Because I, I at one time I was foggy	
21	and I don't want to be foggy again.	
22	(Pause.)	
23	MR. COHEN: 161 would fall in the same category,	
24 25	Your Honor, as 160. It seems to me your ruling would be the	
<b>4</b> 5	same. It, it also is not signed.	

1	JUDGE CHACHKIN: That's correct.
2	MR. COHEN: 162 let's see now, 162 is signed
3	and
4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Since it was signed
5	MR. TOPEL: I don't think did I object to that?
6	MR. COHEN: But I, I may have offered it for a more
7	limited purpose and now I want to
8	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, I don't think you did.
9	MR. SHOOK: You didn't offer it at all.
10	MR. COHEN: I didn't offer it at all.
11	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right, there's, there's no
12	problem with that at all, then.
13	MR. COHEN: That's great, all right. Well, I did
14	this quickly because you said to show you, show you the
15	JUDGE CHACHKIN: No, the ones that you're interested
16	in Mr. Miller Mr. Cohen.
17	MR. COHEN: 163.
18	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 163.
19	MR. SHOOK: You didn't offer that.
20	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Was not offered, all right.
21	MR. COHEN: I didn't offer that at all? I withdrew
22	that?
23	MR. SHOOK: It's identical to Bureau Exhibit
24 25	MR. COHEN: Oh, it's, it's identical, okay. Well,
2.5	if you will, if I think your ruling is clear, Your Honor.

1	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, I've indicated that if it			
2	MR. COHEN: How about 164? What was what			
3	happened with 164?			
4	MR. SHOOK: Received subject to a limitation.			
5	MR. COHEN: Well, there, there would be an example			
6	then of the limitation.			
7	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, I want to make clear on			
8	those in those memos which are not signed			
9	MR. COHEN: Yes.			
10	JUDGE CHACHKIN: that I am receiving it not only			
11	for the limited purposes showing who the individuals are and			
12	their positions, but also for the fact that it was prepared by			
13	Ben Miller, and the information there is was prepared by			
14	Ben Miller.			
15	MR. COHEN: Thank you, Your Honor.			
16	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Without getting to the truth of the			
17	matter.			
18	MR. COHEN: Yes, I'm not I have then I have			
19	nothing else. I'm prepared to			
20	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right.			
21	MR. COHEN: commence examination.			
22	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. Does that take us now			
23	to the oral testimony?			
24 25	MR. TOPEL: Um-hum, it does.			
23	MR. COHEN: Are you going to take a it's 2:30.			

1	Are you going to take a little break before we start?		
2	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, if you want to take a little		
3	break before we start		
4	MR. TOPEL: That would be useful, Your Honor, before		
5	she appears.		
6	MR. COHEN: That would be good.		
7	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right, we'll take a little		
8	break.		
9	MR. TOPEL: How long are we going to take, 10?		
10	JUDGE CHACHKIN: We'll take this will be our		
11	mid-day break. Then		
12	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)		
13	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Let's go on the record. You have		
14	your first witness?		
15	MR. TOPEL: Yes, Your Honor. I call to the witness		
16	stand Mrs. Pearl Jane Duff.		
17	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. Please raise your right		
18	hand.		
19	Whereupon,		
20	PEARL JANE DUFF		
21	having first been duly sworn was called as a witness herein		
22	and was examined and testified as follows:		
23	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Please be seated. Go ahead,		
24 25	Mr. Topel.		
2.0	MR. TOPEL: Thank you, Your Honor.		

1		DIRECT EXAMINATION	
2		BY MR. TOPEL:	
3	Q	Would you state your full name for the record,	
4	please?		
5	A	Pearl Jane Duff.	
6	Q	Thank you. And what is your residence address?	
7	A	22156 Tamma Drive.	
8	Q	City and state, please.	
9	A	Lake Forest, California, 92630.	
10	Q	Thank you.	
11		MR. TOPEL: Your Honor, the record will reflect that	
12	we have in front of Mrs. Duff four volumes that constitute her		
13	direct case testimony with the portions that, that were		
14	rejected marked out, but there are no other markings on the		
15	documents	•	
16		BY MR. TOPEL:	
17	Q	And, Mrs. Duff, I am showing you a document that has	
18	been rece	ived into evidence as Trinity Broadcasting of	
19	Florida,	Inc./TBF Exhibit 101, and in turning to the page	
20	after pag	e 71 of the document and could you tell me, is	
21	that your	signature on that page?	
22	A	Yes, it is.	
23	Q	And do you affirm that this testimony constitutes	
24 25	your dire	ct testimony to the Federal Communications Commission	
<i>ل</i> بم	in this p	roceeding?	

1	A	Yes, it does.
2		MR. TOPEL: Yes, Your Honor, I believe the witness
3	would now	be available for cross-examination.
4		JUDGE CHACHKIN: Mr. Cohen, are you going to go?
5		MR. COHEN: Yes, sir.
6		JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right, go ahead.
7		CROSS EXAMINATION
8		BY MR. COHEN:
9	Q	Good afternoon, Mrs. Duff.
10	A	Good afternoon.
11		JUDGE CHACHKIN: You'll have to keep your voice up,
12	Miss Duff	, or else the reporter is going to have difficulty
13	getting it	t down.
14		MR. COHEN: Your Honor, first
15		JUDGE CHACHKIN: And the parties are going to have
16	difficulty	y hearing you. Go ahead, Mr
17		MR. COHEN: You alluded before we took testimony the
18	other day	to the fact that we're going to have sequestration
19	in this,	and I would be grateful, Your Honor, if you would
20	I'm sure 1	Mr. Topel has instructed the, the witness, and we had
21	sequestra	tion during the deposition, but I wish for the record
22	you would	make clear to the witness that she's under a
23	sequestra	tion procedure in terms of, of discussing her
24 25	testimony	with any other prospective
		JUDGE CHACHKIN: That's correct. Miss Duff, you're

1	not to discuss your testimony with any other witnesses in this
2	proceeding.
3	MRS. DUFF: I understand.
4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right.
5	MR. COHEN: And, Your Honor, during the course of
6	the deposition, we had a sequestration rule in effect for all
7	parties, which I would ask you to order in, in effect now,
8	which went beyond witnesses, that is, no person under the rule
9	that we had was permitted to inform a witness of questions and
10	answers questions or answers, or testimony of witnesses
11	that had testified previously, and I would ask you
12	respectfully to so order that sequestration rule for the
13	remainder of the, of the evidence to be taken.
14	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Do you have any comments,
15	Mr. Topel?
16	MR. TOPEL: I, I have no objection to the
17	sequestration rule. It applies to all parties, doesn't it?
18	MR. COHEN: Of course.
19	JUDGE CHACHKIN: What's the Bureau's view?
20	MR. SHOOK: The Bureau has no problem with that.
21	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Since the parties all agreed to it,
22	so, so be it.
23	MR. TOPEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
24 25	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Please proceed.
ر ہے	BY MR. COHEN:

1	Q Mrs. Duff, you will recall that your deposition was
2	taken in this proceeding. My question is, I take it that in
3	connection with preparing for this testimony you reviewed your
4	deposition?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And have you had occasion to review the depositions
7	of other Trinity and NMTV witnesses?
8	A No.
9	Q So you've, you have reviewed no other deposition.
10	A No.
11	Q And have you discussed with any of the other
12	witnesses who are going to be testifying the testimony that
13	they will be offering here in Washington?
14	A No.
15	Q Have you seen any other person's testimony in draft
16	form?
17	A No.
18	Q Did you provide any input or suggestions for any
19	person's testimony other than your own?
20	A No.
21	Q Subsequent to the time that your deposition was
22	concluded, have you spoken to Pastor Espinoza about his
23	testimony or your testimony?
24 25	MR. TOPEL: Your Honor, I object. There would be
2.5	nothing improper if she had.

1		JUDGE CHACHKIN: That's
2		MR. COHEN: Who said there was anything improper?
3		JUDGE CHACHKIN: Objection is overruled.
4		BY MR. COHEN:
5	Q	Do, do you have the question in mind? Let me repeat
6	that for	you
7	A	Please repeat the question, please.
8	Q	Sure. Subsequent to the time that your deposition
9	was concl	uded, have you had occasion to speak with
10	Pastor Es	pinoza about his testimony or your testimony?
11	A	Not really.
12	Q	Not really?
13	A	The fact that we wished each other well, that type
14	of thing.	
15	Q	Okay, did you have did you talk at all about the
16	written t	estimony that you would be offering or that he would
17	be offeri	ng?
18	A	No.
19	Q	Did you talk at all, and I mean by you, you and
20	Pastor Es	pinoza
21		MR. COHEN: Excuse me, could I move that picture?
22	It, it, i	t interferes with me. Without would you mind if I
23	moved it	over here, Your Honor?
24 25		JUDGE CHACHKIN: It's all right.
رے		MR. COHEN: Thank you. Thank you, Mrs. Duff, I

1	appreciate that.
2	BY MR. COHEN:
3	Q So you, you, you didn't talk, I take it then, with
4	Pastor Espinoza about any facet of your written testimony or
5	his written testimony, that's what you're telling us?
6	A No.
7	Q So I, I, I stated your position accurately, is that
8	correct, what I just
9	A I have not no, I didn't discuss it.
10	Q Thank you. Now, I take it then that you didn't
11	refresh Pastor Espinoza's recollection on any information
12	contained in your testimony or his testimony?
13	A No.
14	Q Now, you became a salaried employee of TBN in is
15	it 1979 or 1980?
16	A 1979.
17	Q And you remained a salaried employee since that
18	date, is that correct?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q And you don't receive a salary from NMTV, am I
21	correct?
22	A No.
23	Q And you never have.
24 25	A No.
25	Q And do you have paid employment other than at TBN?

1	A	No.
2	Q	At the present time, do any of your family, any of
3	your rela	tives, work for TBN?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Will you please tell me who?
6	A	My son.
7	Q	And what is his name?
8	A	Reginald Duff.
9	Q	Anyone else?
10	A	My daughter-in-law.
11	Q	What is her name?
12	A	Cheri Duff.
13	Q	Anyone else?
14	A	No.
15	Q	What about your husband?
16	A	My husband has never worked for TBN.
17	Q	I see. Any other relatives of yours that have
18	worked for	r TBN?
19	A	Only on a temporary basis.
20	Q	And who was that?
21	A	My granddaughter.
22	Q	And what is her name?
23	A	Janie.
24 25	Q	I beg your pardon?
ر ب	A	Janie?

1	Q	Janie. Anyone else?
2	A	No.
3	Q	Now, the persons that you've mentioned, Reginald,
4	Cheri, and	d Janie, do you mind if I refer to them by their
5	first name	e?
6	A	No.
7	Q	Are they currently employed at TBN?
8	A	Reggie and Cheri are.
9	Q	And for how long has Reggie been employed?
10	A	I'm not sure. For about 8 years. I'm not
11	absolutely sure.	
12	Q	Approximately, that's your best
13	A	Approximately.
14	Q	And in what position?
15	A	He's had several different positions.
16	Q	Could you review them briefly?
17	A	He was in the security department and in production.
18	He's work	ed in various other things but he's primarily under
19	the production department.	
20	Q	Is that where he's working now?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And what about Cheri?
23	A	She's my secretary.
24 25	Q	And how long has she served as your secretary?
23	A	For about 4, 4 1/2, 5 years. Four and a half years.

1	Q	Has she had any position other than that?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	What was that?
4	A	She worked in the accounting department prior to
5	working f	or me.
6	Q	And Janie?
7	A	She was temporary employed during the telethon.
8	Q	And is she still temporarily employed?
9	A	No.
10	Q	What year was this telethon?
11	A	I think it was this early this year, in March.
12	March	
13	Q	March of 1993.
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Q	Now, you've mentioned these three persons. Do you
16	have any	other relatives who have been employed in any
17	capacity	for TBN?
18	A	No, not that I can think of.
19	Q	I, I guess I was confused about your husband. Your
20	husband,	has, has he had any official connection with TBN at
21	all?	
22	A	He was on the board of directors of the Florida
23	corporati	on at one time.
24 25	Q	And do you remember when that was approximately?
23	A	Approximately 1980, '81. And

1	Q	And excuse me, I beg your pardon. I didn't mean
2	to cut yo	u off, I apologize. Have you finished your answer?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. Was he did he have any other official
5	connection	n with TBN other than the one you've just testified
6	to?	
7	A	No.
8	Q	At the present time, does he have any connection
9	with TBN?	
10	A	No.
11	Q	Now, your testimony reflects that you are the
12	administrative assistant to the president of TBN, is that	
13	correct?	
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And you've served in that capacity for how many
16	years approximately?	
17	A	Since 1981, I believe.
18	Q	And that's been continuous.
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And you report to Paul Crouch, who is the president,
21	is that correct?	
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Now, am I correct that Mr. Crouch has another
24 25	administr	ative assistant?
-3	A	He has at least two others.

1	Q	Two others.
2	A	At the present time.
3	Q	And, and who are they?
4	A	Terri Hickey and Ruth Brown.
5	Q	And Ruth Brown is the wife of Al Brown.
6	A	That's correct.
7	Q	And Al Brown is the director of finance.
8	A	That's correct.
9	Q	And Ruth Brown is, is related to the Crouches, am I
10	correct?	
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And what is that relationship?
13	A	She is Paul Crouch's sister.
14	Q	Thank you. Now, Mr. Hickey is an officer of, of
15	some TBN	corporations, am I correct?
16	A	That's correct.
17	Q	And he's is he also an officer of NM, NMTV?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Are you an officer of any TBN corporations?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Would, would you state for the record which ones, if
22	you know?	
23	A	Most of them.
24 25	Q	Okay, give me your best recollection, and I, and I
43	realize y	our testifying from your memory, so whatever your